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ABSTRACT

Health care, in common with many other industries, is generating large amounts of routine data, data that are challenging to process, analyse or curate, so-called ‘big data’. A challenge for health informatics is to make sense of these data. Part of the answer will come from the development of ontologies that support the use of heterogeneous data sources and the development of intermediate processors of health information (IPHI). IPHI will sit between the generators of health data and information, often the providers of health care, and the managers, commissioners, policy makers, researchers, and the pharmaceutical and other healthcare industries. They will create a health ecosystem by processing data in a way that stimulates improved data quality and potentially healthcare delivery by providers of health care, and by providing greater insights to legitimate users of data. Exemplars are provided of how a health ecosystem might be encouraged and developed to promote patient safety and more efficient health care. These are in the areas of how to integrate data around the unsafe use of alcohol and to explore vaccine safety. A challenge for IPHI is how to ensure that their processing of data is valid, safe and maintains privacy. Development of the healthcare ecosystem and IPHI should be actively encouraged internationally. Governments, regulators and providers of health care should facilitate access to health data and the use of national and international comparisons to monitor standards. However, most importantly, they should pilot new methods of improving quality and safety through the intermediate processing of health data.
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Introduction

Health care, in common with many other industries, is generating large amounts of routine enterprise data, which can be mined and even combined with comments, tweets and blogs. This mass of data is termed ‘big data’. A challenge for informatics is to make sense of these data, which can sit in numerous disparate systems; and due to their sheer volume are hard to analyse, process and curate en masse. Making sense of these data offers opportunities for the surveillance of disease and addressing complex
public health issues, as well as for running complex healthcare providers. Ontologies that support the use of heterogeneous data sources will provide part of the answer; ontological concepts can be used as a means of improving the quality of complex research data. In addition, there is a place for advanced data mining and data modelling methods, including 'Mashups' of data, enhanced use of metadata and semantic enhancement (Box 1). However, the best way to stimulate the development of these tools is through the development of safe intermediate processors of health information (IPHI) working within a health ecosystem (Figure 1).

IPHI will sit between the generators of health data and information, often the providers of health care, and the users of this information. The users of information are health service managers, commissioners, policy makers, researchers and the pharmaceutical and other healthcare industries. They will create a health ecosystem, by processing data in a way that stimulates improved data quality and potentially healthcare delivery by providers of health care and by providing legitimate users of data with greater insights. Figures 2 and 3 contrast traditional flows of data within health systems, which are often slow and unfriendly to interpret, with how a new IPHI provider might work. The IPHI may also have data feeds from patients’ comments and social media; their outputs might also go to the press and public.

Meaningful Mashups

The last decade introduced a new wave of information sources in the form of World Wide Web (WWW)-based Mashups (a term invented for combining music tracks that has been taken over as a term for combining multiple data sources). Mashups are Web applications that combine multiple sources of information to generate a secondary source which gives a new perspective of the data involved. They have evolved from simple data mixing to platforms that synthesise complex information structures. These ‘meaningful’ Mashups have technological similarities with the IPHIs discussed here. They have been piloted for looking at genes, and clinical and geographical data, and also been developed using ontologically rich processes.

---

**Box 1 What is an information ecosystem?**

An information ecosystem is a complex environment in which data and information providers, users and processors interact in a mutually interdependent and transformational process.

The information ecosystem is made more dynamic by the diversity and effectiveness of the information processors:
- data mining, combining multiple information sources to produce new information (Meaningful Mashups);
- multiple methods of data presentation and visualisation, some will be brief and superficial;
- interactive processes involving linked data;
- ‘sherpas’, methods to guide users to the information they seek; and
- interactive databases

Within the health environment these tools are what we refer to as the intermediate processors of health information (IPHI), unlike other sectors they need to ensure privacy—assuring professionals, patients and the public that they are adequate provision for information governance and security in place.
Figure 2 Traditional flows of data in a health system

Figure 3 Role of intermediate processors of health information (IPHI) in a health system
Exemplar roles of an IPHI: unsafe use of alcohol and vaccine coverage, benefits and risk

Exemplars are provided of how a health ecosystem might be encouraged and developed to promote patient safety and more efficient health care. These are in the areas of how to integrate data around the unsafe use of alcohol and to explore vaccine coverage, benefits and safety.

Vaccine effectiveness monitoring

The 2009 pandemic influenza (H1N1) experience demonstrated the presence of a major gap in vaccine monitoring and benefit–risk assessment across Europe. The key issue appears to be not the absence of data for monitoring such public health emergencies, but the coordinated aggregation of such data within a realistic time frame. In theory, open standards are an idealistic approach for the harmonious collation of data across the health enterprise. However, the perception about incorporating open data standards has changed in the recent years due to numerous information systems projects failing to effectively adopt such standards in usable implementations.

Vaccine monitoring and benefit–risk assessment would be successful only if the data generated from thousands of data sources can be orchestrated to form a ‘unified information flow’ within the healthcare ecosystem. Existing efforts to monitor vaccine outcomes utilise only a fraction of the ‘big data’ available from the healthcare enterprise. An information architecture that could leverage wider coverage of the available health data would have to be flexible. We would also have better results in these initiatives if our approach shifted from being ‘data collection centric’ to ‘data processing centric’. Recent advances in distributed computing have made the latter approach more feasible than ever.

The challenge for generating a ‘unified information flow’ for vaccine monitoring would also require overcoming information governance requirements, which are usually specific to the locality of the data sources. Therefore, an improved information flow would also need to be complemented by standardised governance procedures that would allow flexibility while not affecting data integrity. One of the key success factors in big data implementations so far have been the abundant availability of open data. It will be interesting to see if ‘big data’ would be equally successful within data ecosystems with restrictive governance policies.

Monitoring the unsafe use of alcohol

Alcohol use among under-aged youth is a growing problem and a burden on emergency departments. Despite having certain legislative frameworks in place, there seems to be a clear increase in alcohol-related violence and injuries. Easy access to alcohol is mainly due to the ability to obtain fake licences online. Addressing such issues is complicated because the data involved are available at multiple granularities. Nevertheless, sharing data on alcohol-related injuries with local partners to monitor local trends and take preventive action, such as targeted policing and licensing enforcement, has been successful in the past. We need to examine novel methods of generating the information required specifically for this purpose. This data may originate from crime records, ambulance logs, emergency admissions and other related sources. An IPHI produced for this purpose may serve as an information source for off-licence renewals or alcohol control for the beverage industry.

Alcohol consumption is a major risk factor for the global burden of disease. Monitoring alcohol consumption patterns on a global scale provides critical insight for enforcing control through healthcare policies. The World Health Organization (WHO) has developed a comprehensive information system that includes data on more than 200 alcohol-related indicators, named the Global Information System on Alcohol and Health (GISAH). This system uses the Statistical Data and Metadata Exchange–Health Domain (SDMX-HD) data-exchange format to facilitate the exchange of indicator definitions and data from their data sources. GISAH and its hierarchy of data sources across various national health services form a specialised ecosystem based on WHO proprietary standards. In addition, this demonstrates that implementing IPHIs in a controlled environment can be achieved on a large scale.

Safe and private processing of health and other linked data

A challenge for IPHI is how to ensure that their processing of data is valid, safe and maintains privacy. Information governance (IG) plays a key role in ensuring controlled access at the source of data. The dynamics of IG can potentially be more complicated in a setting in which multiple IPHIs cascade information across the healthcare ecosystem. Considering the nature of the data involved, it may be more effective to achieve privacy at the data level. Recent advances in cryptographic techniques such as homo-
morphic encryption allow data to be processed while being encrypted.19

Building isolated information ecosystems that guarantee the highest levels of security can be expensive. Also, present-day security attacks are highly sophisticated and isolation is a weaker form of security compared with other types. Therefore, the ideal case would be to use security mechanisms at every level of the technology stack and working with data in which the identifiers, if not all the data elements, are ‘hashed’ to reduce the risks of identification by the people who work with the datasets.

Discussion

Development of the healthcare ecosystem and its associated PHHI should be actively encouraged internationally. Such developments could help tackle complex health issues such as how to reduce the risks associated with alcohol and how to monitor vaccine effectiveness. Governments, regulators and healthcare providers should facilitate access to health data and the use of national and international comparisons to monitor standards. However, most importantly, they should pilot new methods of improving quality and safety through the intermediate processing of health data.

Not everything in a data and information ecosystem is good, it will be hard to test the validity and quality of the outputs of these relatively ‘black box’ processes. The creation of processed data will include inaccurate and misleading data, so-called information pollution. Expansive nature of information ecosystems would tend to introduce pollution, which is the gap between information-rich and information-poor entities in the ecosystem.20

Our primary source of information, the Internet, presents us with a data smog, making identification of credible information sources a challenge.21

A health ecosystem will maximise the use of data, and create new knowledge and insights. However, within a health ecosystem, information processing and integration should be regulated to ensure that data integrity and privacy are maintained between the sources and destinations of the orchestrated information flows. There may a need to tolerate imperfect processing, but not breaches of privacy. IPHIs could be the key to achieving the vision of making better use of health data.
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