INRstar: computerised decision support software for anticoagulation management in primary care
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ABSTRACT

Computerised decision support software (CDSS) for anticoagulation management has become established practice in the UK, offering significant advantages for patients and clinicians over traditional methods of dose calculation. The New GMS Contract has been partly responsible for this shift of management from secondary to primary care, in which INRstar has been the market leader for many years. In September 2004, INRstar received the John Perry Prize, awarded by the PHCSG for excellence and innovation in medical applications of information technology.
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History

It was in 1984 that the first algorithm for warfarin doses was announced in the UK by Wilson and James, two haematologists at the Hillingdon Hospital in Middlesex.¹ They developed the idea that the necessary dose of anticoagulation had a direct mathematical correlation with the international normalised ratio (INR) blood test for each patient. As part of their study they also showed that the maintenance of anticoagulation by traditional means was laborious and costly, and that the results achieved were often indifferent, while those produced by the computer were at least as good as those achieved using manual systems. Medical and secretarial time was saved by the use of such a system, in which a reports and audit facility also ensured that statistics about the clinic and its efficacy were readily available.

At the time of the publication of the Hillingdon algorithm in the British Medical Journal, two authors (RTJ and MS) were junior hospital doctors. Both made use of the paper algorithm in their clinical practice, but it was to be more than ten years before they transferred the idea to the program which became INRstar.

Background

Warfarin is useful for a number of different clinical conditions which require the clotting ability of the blood to be reduced. Among the most common clinical indications is atrial fibrillation, a type of irregular pulse which is linked with an increased risk of stroke, as small clots generated in the heart by the irregularity find their way to the brain, where they become lodged...
in the narrowed circulation, causing partial or complete blockage of the blood supply to that part of the brain.

Use of warfarin in these and other conditions can significantly reduce the morbidity and mortality of the condition itself, but there are also disadvantages to its use. There is no standard dose which may be given to similar patients with the same condition, as each individual responds differently to the same dose. This is why patients on warfarin require regular blood tests to monitor their INR – the ratio between their blood clotting time with and without warfarin. According to each INR result their warfarin dose can be adjusted and the date of their next test arranged accordingly. However, each clinical condition requires the INR to be maintained within different ranges. The ideal situation for both patient and clinician is where the INR remains fairly constant for a given dose of warfarin, with the minimal number of tests in a given period of time.

Warfarin dosing has been performed manually in both primary and secondary care for many years, but the evidence suggests that it has not been done very well in either setting. Over the past ten years there has been a gradual shift in clinical care from secondary to primary care for many conditions and treatments, including anticoagulation. Extra funding is sometimes, but not always, made available to support this new responsibility. There is now strong evidence to support the idea that anticoagulation can reduce the risk of thrombotic stroke in those patients with uncomplicated atrial fibrillation by up to 90%. This evidence has resulted in a substantial increase in the number of patients taking warfarin on a long-term basis.

The net result has been that primary care has had to cope with a dramatically increased workload to help prevent a condition with significant morbidity, in an area of clinical medicine where historical evidence suggests poor performance, and with little if any additional funding being made available.

Then began a steady process of development and refinement, to incorporate all the key elements of national guidance from organisations such as the British Society for Haematology and the British Committee for Standards in Haematology, which dictated standard target INR values and durations of treatment for each clinical condition. As the software became more widely used, practices produced their own wish-lists for advanced functionality, including the requirement to network the software across a whole practice, and to integrate it within their clinical systems. The rate of change in software development proved to be so far ahead of its time that when the criteria were published for enhanced services under the terms of the New GMS Contract, no additional development was necessary for INRstar, as it already met all the national standards, which included:

- Maintenance of a register of patients on warfarin. With INRstar, practices can maintain an up-to-date register of all anticoagulation monitoring services delivered to patients, indicating patient name; date of birth; the indication for, and length of, treatment; including the target INR.
- Call and recall. INRstar includes integral functionality to ensure that systematic call and recall of patients on the anticoagulation register is taking place.
- Individual management plan. INRstar includes all the necessary information to prepare an individual management plan for each patient, giving the diagnosis, planned duration and therapeutic range to be obtained.
- Record keeping. INRstar includes the functionality to help maintain records of the performance and outcomes of the service provided, including adverse events such as bleeding episodes requiring hospital admission and deaths caused by anticoagulants.
- Clinical audit. INRstar includes the most comprehensive set of audit reports of any software in this market, allowing clinical audit of the care of patients, including untoward incidents. This allows a review of the success of the practice in maintaining its patients within the designated INR range as part of quality assurance.

Software development

The first version of INRstar was initially developed in Cornwall in the early 1990s by one of the authors (MS) in collaboration with a Visual Basic programmer based in the USA. When it was evident that the product had commercial possibilities, the other two authors (RTJ and DB) became part of the team to handle marketing and technical issues respectively. Despite the limitations of the early version, which ran on a stand-alone Windows PC, it gained early acceptance across the county in Cornwall, where funding was made available to install it in every practice.

Current functionality

INRstar now comes in two versions: the classic general practice (GP) network application is currently in use at more than 500 practices in the UK, Ireland, Australia and New Zealand; the new web browser version opens the door for entire trusts to host an area-wide management system on their own servers, crossing the
boundaries between primary and secondary care. This
new version also raises the possibility of individual
patients logging in and calculating doses when they are
geographically remote from their own clinician.

Clinicians log into INRstar from their own work-
station and are presented with a splash screen (see
Figure 1) to remind them that the software can only
provide guidance, and that overall responsibility for
clinical decisions rests with the clinician, who may be
aware of factors that will skew the algorithm in an
unpredictable fashion.

Because of the comprehensive training and edu-
cation arranged by Sullivan Cuff Software for new
users of INRstar, clinicians do not generally treat the
computer suggestions as dogma. Should an adverse
event occur, they are reassured by the £3 million of
indemnity insurance which the company has arranged
to cover such an eventuality.

Each clinician then logs into the system with their
own username and password which is linked to a read-
only audit trail. In this way all individual actions by users
logged into the system can be decrypted for medico-
legal purposes should the situation arise.

Patients are added to INRstar through the main
registration module, either by copying the demo-
graphic details by hand, or by importing the details
automatically from the GP clinical system. This func-
tionality is currently available for iSoft Synergy and
System 6000, and it is expected that before long this
degree of integration will also be available for the other
leading GP clinical systems.10

The registration screen includes a list of diagnoses,
each with its own target INR range based on the current
recommendations from the British Society for Haema-
tology (see Figure 2). The system is flexible enough to
add new diagnoses or customise INR ranges for indi-
vidual patients where necessary. Entering the patient’s
most recent INR test and the current review interval
allows the program to forecast the right dose and
follow-up period until the next test is due.

Flexibility to suit different patients and working
practices is one of the key aspects of INRstar, so options
are available when adding a new patient to give clin-
icians the chance to choose how to manage that indi-
vidual. For instance, patients may be dosed either in
traditional milligrams or in multiples of same-strength
warfarin tablets to avoid possible confusion between
different strengths and colours. Warfarin control may
be further improved by allowing half-tablets in dose
regimes; this option may be switched off for those
patients who lack the necessary manual dexterity (see
Figure 3).

Users also have the option to choose between the
original Hillingdon algorithm to manage their patients,
or the more recently developed Warwick algorithm,
which appears to control INR levels with greater ac-
curacy and is now regarded as the gold standard.

The main monitoring screen (see Figure 4) allows
users to enter INR results into the program to allow
the software to make suggestions before the patient’s
dosage schedule is automatically printed off. How-
ever, a computer cannot be dogmatic in this context –
it can only make suggestions, which is why INRstar
includes the option for the clinician to override the
software if they consider that other factors such as
concurrent medication or dietary changes might mean
that the computer suggestions are inappropriate for
the time being.

The algorithm determines the next review interval,
so that stable patients are asked to return at longer
intervals, up to a maximum of ten weeks. Even the
most stable patient will still require four or five tests a
year, while less stable patients will be asked to return at
more frequent intervals.

Once the INR result and dosing suggestions have
been saved to the system, a dosage chart can then be

Figure 1 The welcome screen in INRstar reminds each user that the software can only provide some guidance
for the clinician
printed out for the patient to take away as an aide-mémoire until their next test is due. The whole process conforms very well with the idea of a one-stop clinic when combined with the use of near-patient testing (NPT) to measure the INR, and in most user practices the whole clinic is run on a day-to-day basis by a suitably trained extended-role nurse.

There will, however, be occasions when the person in charge of the clinic needs further advice by referring patients to a supervising clinician, who may be on site or geographically distant. In either case, the referral can be done electronically from within INRstar, allowing the supervisor to check the results and make further adjustments as necessary, without interrupting the workflow of the clinic nurse, who can remain within the treatment room throughout the process.

Clinical system integration

As already mentioned, full integration with GP clinical systems is the next major development for INRstar. That integration has already been achieved for iSoft Synergy and System 6000, and further work is currently in progress to allow integration with EMIS and In Practice Systems.
The integration model means that INR values added to the clinical system will automatically be copied and pasted into INRstar, which will then perform its usual calculations before copying the dose schedule back into the clinical system. New warfarin patients can automatically be generated from the clinical system into INRstar at the touch of a button, without the need to copy registration details across by hand.

Reports and audits

INRstar includes a comprehensive reporting suite for clinical audit purposes. The available functionality includes options for examining the degree of control of individual patients and groups of patients, as well as the entire database. In the web browser version of INRstar, the system supervisor can compare figures across the different organisations within their jurisdiction.

The available options include point prevalence (PP) calculation, the gold standard method of comparing different methods of anticoagulation management (see Figure 5). After the switch to INRstar, all practices show a steady increase in their point prevalence scores, and a national feedback scheme co-ordinated by Sullivan Cuff Software allows practices to compare themselves with other users across the country every quarter. The mean value PP for users of INRstar is currently 80%+ with occasional users achieving a perfect score of 100% (see Figure 6).

Education and training

It has long been recognised that the setting up of anticoagulation clinics in primary care requires many resources other than the necessary software, and with its background in postgraduate medical education, Sullivan Cuff Software makes a number of resources available to its new and existing users.

All hospital and primary care trusts that now form the customer base are offered comprehensive training and education in the use of the software and its integration within the context of a clinical setting. The company website provides useful material for practices taking on this role, including summaries of the evidence base for the use of computerised decision support software; links to many of the main academic papers on the subject; and manuals describing the processes that should be considered when setting up an anticoagulation clinic.13

Benefits to primary care

The ways in which practices and their patients have been shown to benefit from the use of INRstar can be summarised as follows:

- improved anticoagulation control
- reduced number of INR tests required to maintain good control
- improved patient safety
- reduced potential for errors in dosing
- improved patient convenience
- contribution to practice financial income.
Figure 5  Point prevalence data is generated within seconds and provides a useful measure of the degree of warfarin control

Figure 6  Anonymised data is fed back to each user site so that practices can compare their performance with other members of the INRstar community
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